

(c) the reasons for non inclusion of Siddarthnagar; in the Central List of Industrially backward districts; and

(d) the names of the schemes under consideration of the Union Government for the Industrial development of this district?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF.P.J. KURIEN): (a) to (d). Under the Growth Centre Scheme, Uttar Pradesh has been allotted eight growth centres which are to be located one each in the districts of Bulandshahar, Etawah, Gorakhpur, Jhansi, Jaunpur, Pauri Garhwal, Moradabad and Shahjahanpur. The growth centres would be provided with basic infrastructural facilities at a cost of Rs. 25-30 crores each. The scheme would be implemented during the eighth Five Year Plan period.

Siddarthnagar has been carved out of

district Basti which is a centrally declared backward district. Any district/area carved out of an existing backward district will continue to be treated as industrially backward.

Population Below poverty Line in 1990-91

3240. SHRI SURESH ANAND SWAMI: Will the MINISTER OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME Implementation be pleased to state the number of persons who were living below poverty line in the year 1990-91, State/Union Territory-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE FOR PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI H.R. BHARDWAJ): The estimate of the persons living below poverty line for the year 1990-91 is not available. Estimates of number of persons who are living below poverty line in the Year 1987-88 State-wise, are given in the statement enclosed.

STATEMENT

Number of Persons Living Below Poverty Line-1987-88

		(Provisional)	(Number in Lakhs)
Sl. No.	State & UTs	Rural & Urban combined	
1	2	3	
1.	Andhra Pradesh	195.70	
2.	Assam	52.89	
3.	Bihar	336.54	
4.	Gujarat	73.25	
5.	Haryana	18.15	
6.	Himachal Pradesh	4.52	
7.	Jammu & Kashmir	9.79	
8.	Karnataka	136.46	

(Provisional)

(Number in Lakhs)

Sl. No.	State & UTs	Rural & Urban combined
1	2	3
9.	Kerala	48.98
10.	Madhya Pradesh	224.97
11.	Maharashtra	214.10
12.	Orissa	135.12
13.	Punjab	13.88
14.	Rajasthan	99.54
15.	Tamil Nadu	176.85
16.	Uttar Pradesh	448.34
17.	West Bengal	173.45
18.	Small States & UTs	14.2
19.	All India	2376.7

[English]

Grants to KVIC, Visakhapatnam

3241. SHRI M.V.V.S. MURTHI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the details of grants released by Union Government to Khadi and village Industries Commission Regional Centre, Visakhapatnam during the last three years;

(b) whether the Union Government propose to enhance these grants in 1992-93; and

(c) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF.P.J. KURIEN): (a) to (c) The Union Government provision funds to Khadi and Village Industries Commission (KVIC), Bombay in the form of grants and loans for development of Khadi and Village Industries Programme under its purview. KVIC in turn releases funds to its directly aided institutions, State KVI Boards and some portion to its departmental trading activities.

KVIC did not release any grant to its Regional Office, Visakhapatnam during the last three years. However, KVIC has released funds to the said Regional Office under Khadi Tradition Loan during the last three years as detailed below;